



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,108	04/20/2001	Igor Pankovcin	MS1.2584US	1764	
22801	7590 12/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC			PEYTON, TAMMARA R		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		2 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2182		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/839,108	PANKOVCIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tammara R Peyton	2182			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE		·			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	. ·		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 September 2003.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🖂	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	·	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.				
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		· ·			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appl priority documents have been rec reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>/</u> 0/28/03, 02/20/04	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/839,108

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanguay et al. (US 5,946,488).

As per claims 1-4, 6-8, 15-22, and 26, Tanguay teaches a system for processing command line input, the system comprising: a command line interface (user input, 230, display, 240, code viewer, 220) comprising a set of executable commands; and a command line processor for, at least; parsing the command line input; identifying one or more macros within the input, expanding the one or more macros into at least one executable command of the command line interface, and executing the commands independent of compilation. (Abstract, cols.2-12)

Tanguay teaches the use computer programmer system wherein the user is able to selectively examine specific source code including the selective expansion of macro that appears in the source code. The user is able to expand the macro into other macro calls and also has the ability to expand and unexpand selected macro calls. The computer programmer system allows the

Art Unit: 2182

expansion of the macro in a preprocessed system, in other words, the user is allowed to expand and un-expand selected macro calls before complication of the source code.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanguay et al. (US 5,946,488).

As per claims 23, Tanguay does not expressly teach the use of a DOS prompt, however Tanguay does teach the use of a user input, 230 for selected input by the user. Nonetheless, the use of the DOS prompt is well known in the art, thereby making use of this interface obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00

from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, Randolph Building, Customer Service Window

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

TAMMARA PEYTON PRIMARY EXAMINES

Tammara Peyton

December 9, 2005